sg

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION (L) NO.1892 OF 2017
IN
SUIT (L) NO.508 OF 2017

Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd. ...Applicant/Plaintiff

vs

United Forum of MTNL Unions And Associations MTNL And 3 Ors. ...Defendants

.....

Mr. Sandeep Marne, for the Applicant/Plaintiff.

Mr. A.K. Kaushik, for Defendant No.1.

Mr. V.P. Vaidya, i/b. M.M. Agavekar, for Defendant Nos. 2 and 3.

Mr. Vansh Bahahdur S. Yadav, for Defendant No.4.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, for Defendant No.5/Department of Telecommunications.

....

CORAM : S.C. GUPTE, J.

DATED : 10 OCTOBER 2017

P.C. :

. This suit seeks a perpetual injunction against Defendant Nos. 1 to 4, who are representative Unions/Associations of the employees of the Plaintiff - Mahanagar Telephone Nigam Ltd. of various categories, restraining them from disrupting the peaceful functioning of the Plaintiff and/or creating any obstruction in the working of the Plaintiff during any of their demonstrations/protests in or around the Plaintiff's Mumbai head office and other offices in Mumbai. This Court noted on the last occasion that a financial package towards the various demands of the employees of the Plaintiff is in active contemplation of the Plaintiff along with a possible

support from Union of India. There is a communication dated 18 August 2017, which is shown to this Court, which shows that the proposal is under consideration of the Central Government. Mr. Jain, appearing for Union of India, states that the Central Government shall indicate its final response to this proposal after the reopening of the Courts. Let the motion stand over accordingly to 3 November 2017. Considering the stand of the Plaintiff and Union of India, learned Counsel for Defendant Nos. 1 and 4 inform the Court that their clients have agreed to defer their agitation concerning the emoluments payable to their members until the next date. Learned Counsel for Defendant Nos. 2 and 3 informs the Court that since the strike call given by them on the basis of a notice of strike was not followed up with action so far, his clients will have to issue a fresh notice of strike before resorting to any. Learned Counsel submits that this fresh notice shall not be issued till the next date. Stand over to 3 November 2017.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)